

**COMMENTS – Update for Pewsey**

<b><u>Ref</u></b>	<b><u>Comment Received</u></b>	<b><u>Officer Comment</u></b>
1	<p>We live on East Sands in Burbage and have seen the notices regarding the proposal for a 20mph limit on a number of roads in Burbage (including East Sands). We <b>totally</b> endorse this proposal and think it is <b>exactly</b> what is required around the village and most importantly on East Sands. The speed of some of the traffic that travel down East Sands (mostly to the Cricket pitch and Mundy's Building Yard) is quite often <b>far exceeding</b> 40mph. It is extremely concerning as there are a number of children who live on East Sands and are often crossing the road or coming out of the terraced houses junction (which is a blind corner). It is an accident waiting to happen and fills us with fear however imposing a 20mph limit will <b>most certainly mitigate the danger</b>. We are happy to speak to anyone who requires further information,</p>	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p>
2	<p>I write in reference to the proposed 20mp traffic order, your reference above.</p> <p>I'd like to place on record my support for the scheme. If the scheme goes ahead it would probably assist if the village speed team carried out checks in the new 20mph roads, rather than just the high street.</p>	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>Community Speed Watch is co-ordinated by the Parish Council.</p>
3	<p>I am emailing in regards to the proposed request for a speed restriction to 20mph in the residential streets in Burbage.</p> <p>I am very much for these restrictions, the speeding around the village is horrendous and with the narrow roads in the built up areas a 20mph limit is certainly a start at making the roads safer especially as most of the residential streets have many young families.</p> <p>I am puzzled however as to why Bourne Way isn't included in this proposal? Even the map on the cover of the Burbage news doesn't include our road. We have lived here for two years now so it's not that much of a new estate so I presume this is just an oversight?</p> <p>Please can the proposal be adapted to include Bourne Way as we do have a major issue with speeding cars, especially in our entrance road just off the high street and if the restrictions are quite rightly rolled out over all of the other residential streets it only makes sense to include our road.</p> <p>It may be because Persimmon continue to have ongoing issues to resolve on the site that the roads are yet to be adopted, because of Persimmons continued failings I would hate to think that we may miss out on being part of this consultation?</p>	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>The road construction within Bourne Way is of a permeable type material and is not considered appropriate for adoption by the Highway Authority. This development is to remain in private ownership and therefore has not been included in this proposal.</p>

<b><u>Ref</u></b>	<b><u>Comment Received</u></b>	<b><u>Officer Comment</u></b>
	<p>I'm sure I am not the only resident on this estate that would feel this way.</p> <p>Thanks in advance.</p>	
<b>4</b>	<p>I approve of the proposal on the grounds of road safety; however, I note that the proposal excludes Bourne Way - the 'new' Charles Church (Persimmons) estate at the bottom of the High Street.</p> <p>I suspect the reason for this is that Persimmons have still to complete the site and (I guess) as a consequence the roads aren't adopted yet. That should not be a factor for Bourne Way not to be included in this proposal. Road safety is road safety irrespective of whether the road has still to be adopted.</p> <p>I request that the proposal be amended to include Bourne Way.</p>	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>The road construction within Bourne Way is of a permeable type material and is not considered appropriate for adoption by the Highway Authority. This development is to remain in private ownership and therefore has not been included in this proposal.</p>
<b>5</b>	<p>In reference to the proposed 20 mph speed restriction in the east of the High Street in Burbage, in principle I have no objections to the new proposed limit. However, in the street where I live, (Crosbys) there are probably no more than say, 6 to 8 cars a day passing my home plus the fact that these areas do not get policed, the expense of the change seems somewhat pointless.</p> <p>In my view the risk of an accident is much greater in the High Street itself especially at times of the school run plus the white van man. Try getting out of Taskers Lane to turn right into the High Street heading north! Just awful.</p>	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>Whilst it is recognised that on some of these roads, especially the short cul-de-sacs, actual vehicle speeds are unlikely to change, it is appropriate to include all roads within the study area for continuity purposes and the cost of doing so is minimal. If, for instance, Crosby's was omitted from the schedule and remained as a 30 mph restriction then the Highway Authority would be obliged to erect signs to indicate the speed limit change point, from a 20 mph limit to a 30 mph limit, resulting in further expense and unnecessary street clutter.</p> <p>The area put forward by the Parish Council for assessment did not include the High Street. The Parish Council recognises that issues exist here and has indicated that it will pursue other projects to address these concerns in due course.</p>
<b>6</b>	<p>I wish to strongly support the proposal to introduce a 20 mph speed limit on certain roads in Burbage.</p> <p>Some of the roads covered have no pavement and limited visibility putting pedestrians at risk. Other roads lead to the primary school and have a high number of children using them at certain times of day. All the roads covered are flanked by residential properties and as such a 20 mph speed limit is appropriate.</p>	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p>
<b>7</b>	<p>I am in favour of this proposed 20 limit, I live in Taskers Lane, and I am amazed at the speed of some vehicles along this road and some very heavy agriculture machinery is included in this daily traffic, Me and my husband are over eighty and not so quick on our feet and it is quite frightening. Round the corner in The Cleavers there are young children and I dread to think of them being knocked down by some of the fast traffic we get on this road. In my opinion I think road humps would be considered or at least</p>	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p>

<u>Ref</u>	<u>Comment Received</u>	<u>Officer Comment</u>
	some form of slowing the traffic down.	
8	<p>I agree that a 20 mph speed limit should be imposed in Burbage.</p> <p>I note that the only road in the village not included in the proposed 20 mph zone is High Street.</p> <p>I believe that this should be included for the following reason:</p> <p>The High Street is used by pedestrians who choose to walk from the Stibb Green end of the village to various facilities in the southern end of the village, such as the doctor's surgery, post office and pub, amongst others. From Manor Farm house to south of Taskers Lane, a distance of over 600 metres, there is no footpath. This means that the road can be dangerous to pedestrians, especially when many vehicles already ignore the speed limit. This has been heightened by the increased number of vehicles that park on this section of road, particularly on the bend near the turning to Westcourt.</p> <p>The need for a 30 mph speed limit on the High Street for the passage of emergency vehicles is spurious given that this would only be a very small part of any such journey. In this context, it is noted that the two main roads in Great Bedwyn have not been excluded from the 20 mph zone.</p> <p>In summary, the failure to include the High Street in the 20 mph zone would be a dereliction of the Council's duties to the safety of residents and the encouragement of people to walk rather than use their cars. Should it not be included and given the known risk to pedestrians, I believe that the Council could be putting itself in an awkward position, should an incident occur in which someone was injured or worse.</p>	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>The area put forward by the Parish Council for assessment did not include the High Street. The Parish Council recognises that issues exist here and has indicated that it will pursue other projects to address these concerns in due course.</p>
9	<p>I fully support the proposals but have the following comments/questions:</p> <p>The proposal says it will apply on Eastcourt Road from 53 metres from its junction with Grafton Road. This is not how it is indicated on the map and means that some other 20 mph Road (Saddlers Way and Martingale) will join a Road with a different speed limit. Will more road signage be required?</p> <p>It is difficult to see how safety at the junction of Eastcourt Road and Grafton Road will be improved by this measure. Surely there needs to be a corresponding reduction in the speed limit</p>	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>The Advertisement Plan does show the proposed limit starting a short distance, (53 metres), North of the A338, Grafton Road. Saddlers Way and Martingale Road will sit within the proposed 20 mph limit. Terminal signs are only required at the point where a speed limit changes. Repeater signs may be placed within the 20 mph limit at strategic points to remind drivers of the limit. The reason for commencing the limit at 53 metres from the main road is to allow better positioning of the terminal signs to encourage greater compliance by drivers. The proposed limit will begin and end at the same point as where the current 30 mph limit begins and terminates.</p> <p>The primary reason for proposing the lower limit is to improve safety within the village centre, to reduce risk to vulnerable users such as pedestrians and cyclists and to enhance the general quality of the village</p>

<u>Ref</u>	<u>Comment Received</u>	<u>Officer Comment</u>
	<p>on Grafton Road? There have been a number of serious accidents at this junction in recent years and the speed limit on Grafton Road which has been subject to consideration by the Parish council is often ignored. I would urge you to consider the speed limit on Grafton Road as part of these considerations.</p> <p>Finally, I would ask how the speed limits will be monitored. At present the existing 30mph limit is ignored by many with little, if any consequence - how will this be different as a result of these proposals?</p>	<p>environment. The lower limit is unlikely to significantly improve safety at the junction of Grafton Road.</p> <p>The 53 metres from the Grafton Road junction to the proposed lower limit is set to remain at 50 mph limit but actual vehicle speeds will be much lower than this over this short length due to the layout of the road.</p> <p>We were not asked to consider the speed limit on the A338 as part of this exercise. The A338 is set at 50 mph in line with national guidelines. Burbage Parish Council has raised the issue of safety at this junction with the Community Area Transport Group and new warning signs have recently been erected to highlight the junction. There is also a commitment to monitor the junction should the 20 mph limit be introduced.</p> <p>Wiltshire Council has no powers to enforce speed limits, which is ultimately a responsibility of the Police. However, there are opportunities to establish Community Speed Watch teams should speeding become an issue on these roads in the future.</p>